

Faculty Guide for Evaluating Open Education Resources

Item Reviewed - [A People's History of Structural Racism in Academia](#)

Item Reviewed - [Video animations \(Created by the Project Author\)](#)

RELEVANCE

- Does the information directly address one or more of the class objectives?

ACCURACY

- Is the information accurate? Are there major content errors or omissions? Are there spelling errors or typos?
- Has the material been peer reviewed?

PRODUCTION QUALITY

- Is the information clear and understandable? Is the layout and interface easy to navigate? Do the design features enhance learning?
- For multimedia resources, are the audio/video quality high?

ACCESSIBILITY

- Is the resource available in alternative formats (e.g. .doc or .pdf)? For audio or video resources, is there a transcript or subtitles?

INTERACTIVITY

- Does the resource encourage active learning and class participation? If not, are you able to add that to the resource?
- Are there opportunities for students to test their understanding of the material (e.g. a video with embedded questions)?

LICENSING

- Does the license allow for educational reuse of the materials?
- Does the license allow modifications or adaptations of the materials? If so, can you modify the resource to better fit the class objectives or encourage active learning?

Date	1/15/2024
Reviewer/Evaluator	Elaine Plourde
Relevance	Yes
Interactivity	Yes
Alternate versions	Yes
Comments	The creators are familiar with all aspects of OER quality control

"Faculty Guide for Evaluating Open Education Resources" by [Elaine Farrally-Plourde](#), [TLDR.school](#) is licensed under [CC BY 3.0](#) / A derivative from the [original work](#).

